

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/786,685	Applicant(s) RAO, SUNDAR MOHAN	
	Examiner Tri V. Nguyen	Art Unit 1751	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non final

 (1) Tri V. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Christina Geerlof (App. Rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007
Time: 5pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5-10, 12, 14-46

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to amend claims 1, 5-8, 10 and 14 and cancel claims 9, 12, 15-46 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)